



Foreign &  
Commonwealth  
Office

**Americas Directorate**  
Foreign and Commonwealth Office  
King Charles Street  
London SW1A 2AH

Website: <https://www.gov.uk/fco>

Mr George Greenwood  
Email: [FOI.Research@bbc.co.uk](mailto:FOI.Research@bbc.co.uk)

23 October 2017

Dear Mr Greenwood

**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 REQUEST REF: 0567/2017**

Thank you for your email of 5 June stating you are happy to continue the following request under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) 2000, as suggested in our internal review. You asked:

*'Please provide all communications between the Foreign and Commonwealth Office and the British embassy in Washington concerning American immigration and border control policy regarding Muslims. Please reduce the scope of my request to the three weeks between 23<sup>th</sup> January and 12<sup>th</sup> February'.*

I am writing to confirm that we have now completed the search for the information which you requested. I can confirm that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) does hold information relevant to your request.

We have carefully considered if we can release this information and conducted the appropriate Public Interest Tests. We can release some of the information and this is attached as a digest of information to be released.

However, we do not intend to release the other information relevant to your request as we judge it is exempt from release under the following exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA):

- section 27 (1) (a) (c) (d) (International relations)
- section 35 (1) (a) (Formulation of government policy, etc.)
- section 40 (2) and (3) (Personal information)

- Section 41 (1) (Information provided in confidence)

### **Section 27 (1) (a) (c) and (d) International Relations**

Some of the information you have requested is being withheld under section 27 (1) (a) (c) and (d) of the FOIA. Section 27 (1) (a) (c) and (d) provides that information is exempt if its disclosure would or would be likely to prejudice the relations between the United Kingdom and any other state, the interests of the UK abroad, or the promotion or protection by the United Kingdom of its interests abroad.

In applying section 27, we have had to balance the public interest in withholding the information against the public interest in disclosing it. Factors in favour of disclosure include the strong public interest in transparency and accountability. Disclosure would also increase public knowledge of relations with the United States. Factors against disclosure include the strong public interest in ensuring that the FCO is able to conduct the UK's international relations effectively and protect UK interests abroad. The effective conduct of the UK's international relations depends upon maintaining trust and confidence with other governments and international organisations. To do this there must be good working relationships with other governments and international organisations based on confidence and trust. This relationship of trust allows for the free and frank exchange of information on the understanding that it will be treated in confidence. If the UK does not maintain this trust and confidence, its ability to act as a significant player in the international arena, and protect and promote UK interests through international relations, will be hampered. Other governments and international organisations may be more reluctant to share information with the UK Government in future, and may be less likely to respect the confidentiality of information supplied by the UK Government to them, to the detriment of UK interests.

For these reasons, we consider that the public interest in maintaining this exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing it.

### **Section 35 (1) (a) (Formulation of government policy, etc.)**

Section 35 (1) (a) of the Freedom of Information Act relates to the formulation or development of Government policy. This exemption requires the application of a public interest test. It is recognised that there is a public interest in the greater transparency in the decision making process to ensure accountability within public authorities. However, officials need to be able to conduct rigorous and candid risk assessments of their policies and programmes including considerations of the pros and cons without there being premature disclosure which might close off better options and inhibit the free and frank discussion of all policy options. It is our view that disclosure of information referring to the United States would mean that we would risk undermining future decision making and discussion on this subject in future. For these reasons we consider that the public interest in maintaining this exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure of the information.

### **Section 40 (2) and (3) Personal Information**

Some of the information you have requested is personal data relating to third parties, the disclosure of which would contravene one of the data protection principles. In such circumstances sections 40 (2) and (3) of the FOIA apply. In this case, our view is that disclosure would breach the first data protection principle. This states that personal data should be processed fairly and lawfully. It is the fairness aspect of this principle which, in our view, would be breached by disclosure. In such circumstances section 40 confers an absolute exemption on disclosure. There is, therefore, no public interest test to apply.

### **Section 41 (1) (Information provided in confidence)**

Some of the information that you requested has been withheld under Section 41 (1) of the Freedom of Information Act – information provided in confidence. This allows for information to be exempt if it was obtained by the public authority from any other person and the disclosure of the information to the public (otherwise than under this Act) by the public authority holding it would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that or any other person. Section 41 also confers an absolute exemption on disclosure, and therefore a public interest test is not required.

Once an FOI request is answered, it is considered to be in the public domain. To promote transparency, we may now publish the response and any material released on [gov.uk](http://gov.uk) in the [FOI releases](#) section. All personal information in the letter will be removed before publishing.

The copies of information being supplied to you continue to be protected by the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. You are free to use it for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, would require the permission of the copyright holder. Most documents supplied by the FCO will have been produced by government officials and will be protected by Crown Copyright. To re-use Crown Copyright documents please consult the [Open Government Licence v3](#) on the National Archives website.

Information you receive which is not subject to Crown Copyright continues to be protected by the copyright of the person, or organisation, from which the information originated. You must ensure that you gain their permission before reproducing any third party (non-Crown Copyright) information.

I hope you are satisfied with this reply. However, if you wish to make a complaint or if you would like a review of our decision, please write to the FOI and DPA Team, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Room K4.04, King Charles Street, London, SW1A 2AH. E-mail: [foi-dpa.imd@fco.gov.uk](mailto:foi-dpa.imd@fco.gov.uk). You have 40 working days to do so from the date of this letter.

If you are not content with the outcome of your complaint, you may then apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Generally, the Information Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted the complaints procedure proed by the FCO. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF.

Yours sincerely,

**US and Canada Department**



We keep and use information in line with the Data Protection Act 1998. We may release this personal information to other UK government departments and public authorities.



**Subject:** Decision Issued on Immigration Executive Order / Trump Reaction / Quick Thoughts on impacts for HMG & UK Travellers

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 10 February 2017 22:43

**To:** [REDACTED]; Jennifer Cole (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); Kara Owen (Sensitive)

**Cc:** DL Washi US Transition Response (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Decision Issued on Immigration Executive Order / Trump Reaction / Quick Thoughts on impacts for HMG & UK Travellers OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

All,

A quick update on this: The White House has just said they won't immediately appeal yesterday's decision to the Supreme Court. That means the process continues now in the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit waiting for the original judge to hold a hearing on the merits of the case against the EO (rather than a back and forth on the temporary hold on the EO which is what yesterday's hearing was about).

[REDACTED]

All the best,  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 09 February 2017 19:18

**To:** [REDACTED]; Jennifer Cole (Sensitive); DL Americas NAD All Staff (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); Kara Owen (Sensitive)

**Cc:** DL Washi US Transition Response (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Decision Issued on Immigration Executive Order / Trump Reaction / Quick Thoughts on impacts for HMG & UK Travellers OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED]

All the best,  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 09 February 2017 17:24

**To:** Jennifer Cole (Sensitive); DL Americas NAD All Staff (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive)

**Cc:** DL Washi US Transition Response (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Immigration Executive Order Decision Due Today OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

**Importance:** High

Jennifer, GRC, All

The 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court has just announced they will issue a decision on President Trump's immigration Executive Order by their close of

		<p><u>play today</u> (i.e. 5 or 6pm PST so 8/9pm EST and 2/3am London time). [REDACTED]</p> <p>All the best, [REDACTED]</p>	
3	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> FW: US Politics: Return of the Weekly Update OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p>[Extract]</p> <p>[REDACTED] Incidentally, polling in the last 24 hours suggests that 49% of Americans approve of the Executive Order banning travel from the 7 countries, with only 41% opposing. He has promised to deport “millions” of undocumented immigrants which could entail a renewed focus on domestic law enforcement.</p> <p>Kim</p> <p>Kim Darroch</p> <p><b>British Ambassador to the United States of America</b></p>	6 February 2017
4	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> RE: US immigration changes: actions today OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED] <b>Sent:</b> 29 January 2017 15:08 <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] <b>Cc:</b> [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Newsdesk (Sensitive); [REDACTED] <b>Subject:</b> RE: US immigration changes: actions today OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] On 29 January 2017 at 14:10:28 GMT, [REDACTED] wrote: <a href="#">[REDACTED]/others</a></p> <p>FYI</p> <p>I have emailed [REDACTED] seeking clearance to changes to TA below</p>	29 January 2017

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 14:06

**To:** [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive)

**Cc:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Newsdesk (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: US immigration changes: actions today OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

We are getting a lot of press calls on what our advice to British/dual nationals affected by this change is.

Sir Mo Farah has put out a statement saying he doesn't know whether he can go back to his family in the USA which has increased the interest further.

We're still guiding that we are working with the State dept to establish the impact but grateful if we can be kept informed of any new advice to Brits looking to travel.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

## **SIR MO FARAH WORRIED ABOUT US RETURN AMID DONALD TRUMP TRAVEL BAN**

PA

POLITICS May Farah

29-Jan-2017 13:19:54

By Dominic Harris, Press Association

*Page 1*

Sir Mo Farah says it is "deeply troubling" that he may not be able to return home to his children in the US following Donald Trump's travel ban on refugees and citizens from seven mainly-Muslim countries.

The Somalia-born four-time Olympic champion could be affected by the ban, which prevents those from Iraq, Iran, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen entering the US for 90 days or more.

There are concerns the policy could affect UK citizens born abroad and Sir Mo is worried President Trump's decree could keep him apart from his family.

In a statement, Sir Mo, who lives and trains in the US, said: "On 1st January this year, Her Majesty The Queen made me a Knight of the Realm. On 27th January, President Donald Trump seems to have made me an alien.

"I am a British citizen who has lived in America for the past six years - working hard, contributing to society, paying my taxes and bringing up our four children in the place they now call home.

"Now, me and many others like me are being told that we may not be welcome.

"It's deeply troubling that I will have to tell my children that daddy might not be able to come home - to explain why the president has introduced a policy that comes from a place of ignorance and prejudice.

"I was welcomed into Britain from Somalia at eight years old and given the chance to succeed and realise my dreams.

"I have been proud to represent my country, win medals for the British people and receive the greatest honour of a knighthood.

"My story is an example of what can happen when you follow policies of compassion and understanding, not hate and isolation."

Sir Mo trains in Portland, Oregon, where he lives with his wife Tania and their four children.

He moved to the UK from Somalia - one of the proscribed countries - when he was eight, becoming a British citizen. A British passport holder, he does not have dual nationality or hold a Somalian passport.

Sir Mo is currently training in Ethiopia and his team are unsure how and if he will be affected by the travel ban.

A representative said: "We are seeking to clarify the situation with the US authorities. Mo is currently at a training camp and is not planned to return to the US for a number of weeks.

"However, as I'm sure you can appreciate, he and Tania want to understand the direct impact on them (if any) as a matter of urgency."

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 10:45

**To:** Global Response Centre (Sensitive)

**Cc:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [Redacted]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] Kara Owen (Sensitive)

**Subject:** RE: US immigration changes: actions today OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED]/GRC

[REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 29 January 2017 09:43  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive)  
**Cc:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: US immigration changes: actions today OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED], Kara,

[REDACTED];  
Hope this helps.  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 29 January 2017 04:24  
**To:** Kara Owen (Sensitive)  
**Cc:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: US immigration changes: actions today OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED];  
**From:** Kara Owen (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 29 January 2017 09:13  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: US immigration changes: actions today OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED]  
On 29 January 2017 at 09:08:30 GMT, [REDACTED] wrote:

Kara,

[REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED]

Best wishes,

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 29 January 2017 09:00  
**To:** Kara Owen (Sensitive)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [Redacted]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: US immigration changes: actions today

Copying in [REDACTED], today's duty press officer.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer

On 29 Jan 2017 8:58 a.m., "Kara Owen (Sensitive)" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear all,

[REDACTED]

Thanks

Kara

5

Email

Report within FCO  
**Subject:** RE:RE:RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
  
**From:** Samantha Job (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 13:28  
**To:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)

30 January  
2017

**Subject:** RE: RE: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

Thanks.

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 08:10  
**To:** Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: RE: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

Draft FS statement to parliament. It's short and pretty factual ([REDACTED]). So I think it's ok. The challenge will be answering MPs' questions when the situation is still unclear.

The FS is due in parliament at 2pm. So we do not have a chance to comment.

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy |  
[3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) |  
[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** January 30, 2017 at 7:59:41 AM EST  
**Subject:** RE: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
**To:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive) <[REDACTED]>

Should have been in the attached email – reattaching here. Let me know if that works...

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 12:56  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

Thanks. No speech seems to be attached.

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy |  
[3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) |  
[REDACTED]

On January 30, 2017 at 7:32:54 AM EST, [REDACTED], wrote:  
Patrick,

The FS will now be giving a statement in Parliament this afternoon (we think at 2pm) on the issue, which should mean the UQs are overtaken. [REDACTED] is briefing the FS now. The draft statement attached is being finessed by the FS's speechwriters.

[REDACTED]

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 12:29  
**To:** Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Benjamin Norman (Sensitive); Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

To see - seven UQs submitted this morning on the Executive Order. It's not clear if the Speaker has accepted one of the questions ([REDACTED]/[REDACTED] could you let us know as soon as you hear?).

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy |  
[3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) |  
[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** January 30, 2017 at 5:21:37 AM EST  
**Subject:** RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders  
**To:** [REDACTED], Kara Owen (Sensitive), [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Cc:** Jonathan Allen (Sensitive), [REDACTED], [REDACTED]

Dear all,

A seventh UQ request on this topic:  
 Rt Hon Yvette Cooper MP to ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Executive Order from the President of the United States banning Syrian refugees and arrivals to the US from seven majority Muslim countries.

Again, an updated list below.

[REDACTED]

UQ addressed to	Requesting MP	Text of the UQ
Foreign Secretary	Emily Thornberry MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Government's response to President Trump's Executive Order Protecting the nation from foreign terrorist entry into the United States.
Foreign Secretary	Alison McGovern MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the UK's response to President Trump's executive order banning all travel from certain countries, ending the United States' participation in refugee resettlement, and its implications for government policy.
Prime Minister	Patrick Grady MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the impact of US visa restrictions on UK citizens.
Prime Minister	Angela Smith MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the Government's response to the executive order issued by the

		President of the United States barring migrants and refugees from several countries from entering the US.
Foreign Secretary	Carol Monaghan MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the current travel restrictions in the US and if he could detail the representations that his department has made to the Government of the United States regarding these travel restrictions.
Foreign Secretary	Stuart C McDonald MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the implications of the US immigration restrictions announced by President Trump.
Foreign Secretary	Yvette Cooper MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Executive Order from the President of the United States banning Syrian refugees and arrivals to the US from seven majority Muslim countries.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 10:11  
**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** DL UQ automatic circulation list (External); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

Dear all,

We have yet another UQ:  
Stuart C McDonald MP to ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the implications of the US immigration restrictions announced by President Trump.

There are also four PNQs for the House of Lords on the same topic on the way. The Lord Speaker is content to accept the same briefing for the PNQs as we'll provide Mr Speaker for the UQs.

Below is an updated list of the UQs:

<b>UQ addressed to</b>	<b>Requesting MP</b>	<b>Text of the UQ</b>
Foreign Secretary	Emily Thornberry MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Government's response to President Trump's Executive Order Protecting the nation from foreign terrorist entry into the United States.
Foreign Secretary	Alison McGovern MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the UK's response to President Trump's executive order banning all travel from certain countries, ending the United States' participation in refugee resettlement, and its implications for government policy.
Prime Minister	Patrick Grady MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the impact of US visa restrictions on UK citizens.
Prime Minister	Angela Smith MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the Government's response to the executive order issued by the President of the United States barring migrants and refugees from several countries from entering the US.
Foreign Secretary	Carol Monaghan MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the current travel restrictions in the US and if he could detail the representations that his department has made to

		the Government of the United States regarding these travel restrictions.
Foreign Secretary	Stuart C McDonald MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the implications of the US immigration restrictions announced by President Trump.

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 09:43

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

Dear all,

We have just had two more UQs on the executive order.

Mr Speaker's Office are content to receive a single brief covering all five UQs.

The five UQs so far are:

UQ addressed to	Requesting MP	Text of the UQ
Foreign Secretary	Emily Thornberry MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Government's response to President Trump's Executive Order Protecting the nation from foreign terrorist entry into the United States.
Foreign Secretary	Alison McGovern MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the UK's response to President Trump's executive order banning all travel from certain countries, ending the United States' participation in refugee resettlement, and its implications for government policy.

Prime Minister	Patrick Grady MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the impact of US visa restrictions on UK citizens.
Prime Minister	Angela Smith MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the Government's response to the executive order issued by the President of the United States barring migrants and refugees from several countries from entering the US.
Foreign Secretary	Carol Monaghan MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the current travel restrictions in the US and if he could detail the representations that his department has made to the Government of the United States regarding these travel restrictions.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 09:30

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED] Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

A third UQ to the PM:

Patrick Grady MP

To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the impact of US visa restrictions on UK citizens.

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 08:54

**To:** [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED];

[REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

**Importance:** High

## **IMMEDIATE ACTION: URGENT QUESTION (UQ)**

**Please complete the attached briefing for the Speaker (Annex B) immediately and return it to PRD Action/Info, copied to [REDACTED] by 11:40 today. Once you have done that, please start work on the Ministerial briefing template (Annex C).**

The FCO must send urgent information to the Speaker of the House of Commons about this application for a UQs:

*Angela Smith MP*

*To ask the **Prime Minister** if she will make a statement on the Government's response to the executive order issued by the President of the United States barring migrants and refugees from several countries from entering the US.*

*Carol Monaghan MP*

*To ask the Secretary of State for **Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs** if he will make a statement on the current travel restrictions in the US and if he could detail the representations that his department has made to the Government of the United States regarding these travel restrictions.*

**If it is decided to go ahead with a statement today, this will form the basis of the response to the UQs**

The Speaker will consider the request at his daily meeting, and we should know shortly after midday whether the application has been allowed. If allowed, it will take place at either 3.30 and can run for up to an hour, including Q&A. If allowed, it is likely to be taken by (tbc), so his office will be in touch with you about oral briefings, if required.

**Please let PRD know as soon as possible who is preparing the briefing for Mr Speaker.**

[REDACTED]

6 Email Report within FCO

10 February  
2017

**Subject:** O/S: US: Immigration: Executive Orders: Update  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 10 February 2017 12:49

**To:** Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Cc:** DL FCO Directors (Sensitive); DL FCO DGs (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; PS Minister Duncan - Info (Sensitive); PS Minister Ahmad - Info (Sensitive); PUS Action (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Nigel Baker \* (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Philippa Makepeace (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** O/S: US: Immigration: Executive Orders: Update OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

**Importance:** High

Martin

As flagged. This note has been agreed with Consular colleagues.

[REDACTED]

PS

### **US: Immigration: Executive Orders: Update**

1. The Foreign Secretary might welcome an update following yesterday's Appeals Court ruling upholding the suspension of President Trump's January 27 Executive Order banning entry into the US from seven mainly Muslim countries. I also include a heads-up of a possible EO on business visas.

#### **Detail**

2. *"Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States"* [REDACTED]. Yesterday's decision by an Appeals Court to uphold suspension of the ban means that nothing changes materially for travellers, including UK nationals. The Appeals Court decision, which runs to 30 pages, noted that Trump's campaign talk of a Muslim ban could be taken into account as evidence the EO was discriminatory and breached the equal protection clause of the Constitution. The ruling also says there is a public interest in "travel, avoiding separation of families, and in freedom from discrimination". The Administration could now appeal to the Supreme Court.
3. [REDACTED] HMG secured assurances that the EO would not/not affect British passport holders. These assurances – and the EO's quick suspension by the courts – explain the relatively small number of reported problems by British nationals: there have been around 34 calls to consular contact centres since 27 January from UK nationals overseas concerned about the effect of the EO.

#### **Correspondence**

4. There has been an uptick in MPs letter. The majority contain complaints about the nature of Trump's decision ie criticising it as a 'Muslim ban'. A smaller number have raised the concerns of constituents who are not planning to travel to the US imminently, but are concerned about future plans to do so. A number (including one from Emily Thornberry) have raised complaints about US processes and decision making, but the volume is similar to the usual rate (we direct these inquiries to the US Embassy). We shall continue to monitor carefully the inflow of correspondence.

### **Consular and Public Posture**

5. While we have not received many consular enquiries, and calls numbers are decreasing, our consular position is as follows:
- We continue to be clear that the UK cannot intervene in US immigration policy. We direct people to our Travel Advice (and encourage them to register for updates).
  - For British nationals who report difficulties after having passed through immigration, we provide consular assistance as required. If they have concerns about the way they have been treated, we direct them to the US DHS Traveller Redress Inquiry point. If we get any calls from British nationals who are being detained, we offer consular assistance based on assessment of their vulnerability (as we do with other consular customers).
  - For any British nationals affected whilst in third countries or at the US border, we provide consular assistance as appropriate. Again, we cannot interfere in the decisions taken by US immigration officials, and we are clear about this with our customers.
6. The messages for consular callers are:
- As long as you are travelling on a UK passport with the right travel authorisation, you should not be affected.
  - Where issues arise, in the first instance, you should seek clarification from the US Embassy and your airline if you have been prevented from travelling to the US. Ultimately, you can seek legal advice.
  - The Foreign & Commonwealth Office is actively monitoring the overall impact on British nationals of the US immigration changes and is in close contact with US authorities.
7. For Members of Parliament lobbying on behalf of the individual cases of constituents we direct them to the US Embassy, and in particular the Embassy email address designed for enquiries from Members of Parliament. We regularly feed back to the US Embassy the number and nature of the enquiries we receive. [REDACTED].

8. [REDACTED]

### **Looking ahead**

9. We will continue to track both the repercussions of the first EO[REDACTED]. Should he wish to do so, the FS will have an opportunity to raise this issue with Secretary of State Tillerson in Bonn. The Executive Order is likely to face further criticism from MPs on 20 February when Sir Alan Duncan takes the Westminster Hall Debate on the Trump state visit.

[REDACTED]

7

Email

Report within FCO

**Subject:** Fwd: Re: O/S US Visa Changes: Update Note for FS OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 18:17

**To:** [REDACTED]; Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Fwd: Re: O/S: US Visa Changes: Update Note for FS OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED]

Thanks. Good points. Forwarding to Kim and others involved today.

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy | [3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) | [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** January 29, 2017 at 12:55:01 PM EST

**Subject:** Re: O/S: US Visa Changes: Update Note for FS OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive)

I'm not sure what back and forth has gone on with this. But for my two cents I think there are a few issues with this advice:

1) [REDACTED]

29 January

2) [REDACTED]

3) There will be further legal challenges (and the rulings yesterday will first be tested in a full court, ending up in SCOTUS pretty soon). [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Apologies if there's been separate chains on this etc etc.

[REDACTED]

On January 29, 2017 at 11:55:43 AM EST, Kara Owen (Sensitive) <[REDACTED]> wrote:  
[REDACTED]- [REDACTED]

---

Sent from Boxer | <http://getboxer.com>

On 29 January 2017 at 16:37:52 GMT, [Redacted] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

[REDACTED] – Update note for FS as requested. Includes latest public lines from No 10. Lobbying scripts are being prepared for Home Secretary and FS.

[REDACTED]

8

Email

Report within FCO

**Subject:** PM response to question on Trump's immigration executive order

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 28 January 2017 19:00

**To:** Kim Darroch (Sensitive)

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** PM response to question on Trump's immigration executive order

Kim

To be aware, the Prime Minister was asked in her press conference alongside Turkish Prime Minister Yildirim today:

Q: PM, do you still feel the President is someone to hold hands with?

A: I was pleased to visit President Trump yesterday.

Q: I'd like to repeat Faisal's question - what do you make of Trump's comments about refugees?

A: The United States is responsible for the United States policy on refugees. The United Kingdom is responsible for the United Kingdom's policy on refugees and our policy on refugees is to have a number of voluntary schemes to bring Syrian refugees into the country, particularly those who are most vulnerable, but also to

28 January  
2017

provide significant financial contributions to support refugees in countries surrounding Syria.

[REDACTED]

Sky: [Theresa May refuses to condemn Donald Trump's immigration controls](#)

Independent: [Theresa May repeatedly refuses to condemn Donald Trump's immigration ban](#)

Evening Standard: [Theresa May blasted over refusal to slam Donald Trump over refugees ban](#)

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 28 January 2017 13:30

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

[REDACTED],

Link to PM presser with PM Yildirim

<https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pm-press-conference-with-turkish-pm-yildirim-28-january-2017>

Also link to her statement following talks with Erdogan

<https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pm-statement-following-talks-with-president-erdogan-28-january-2017>

No10 also sent rough readout but that's all they have

<http://news.sky.com/story/theresa-may-refuses-to-condemn-donald-trumps-immigration-controls-10746484>

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 28 January 2017 13:19

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

[REDACTED],

Attached is summary of Q&A. I've copied relevant question below. [REDACTED]

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

**Theresa May:** *What do you think about a fellow Nato nation banning refugees from Muslim countries? Do you think these are the actions of the leader of the free world?* I was very keen to visit Washington and hold the discussions I did with President Trump yesterday. On the issue of refugees, I

recognise the contribution that Turkey has made in hosting and supporting 3 million refugees. The UK also has a good record in this. We have developed a number of schemes for resettlement, particularly for some of the most vulnerable refugees. The United Kingdom is the second largest bi-lateral donor outside of the region in terms of the amount of money we have put into this issue (Sky News 1607).

**Binali Yildirim, Turkish Prime Minister:** We have 55m refugees worldwide at the moment. We cannot turn a blind eye to this issue and you cannot solve this issue by constructing walls. The answer is cooperation. We will continue accommodating these people. First and foremost we should eradicate Daesh. We should have a political solution and a lasting peace in Syria. Then peace will last. You cannot close the door on those that have fled that region. All countries should put more effort into this and should solve those problems before the problems get even more complicated (Sky News 1608).

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 28 January 2017 17:50

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Thanks – a transcript would be really handy.

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 28 January 2017 12:43

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] - not sure if anyone carried presser live but worth asking for a transcript if we can?

[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer

On 28 Jan 2017 5:08 p.m., [REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks - helpful. Kim's next on at 4pm UK-time tomorrow. Where did the PM say that? Presumably that changes if Brits (ie dual nationals) are blocked from entering the US?

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 28 January 2017 11:42

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Hi [REDACTED],

Not sure when Kim is on. You might have seen that the PM didn't engage on the question on trump's immigration policy saying they were for the US.

[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer

On 28 Jan 2017 3:06 p.m., "[REDACTED] wrote:

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On January 28, 2017 at 9:55:57 AM EST, [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi all,

We need to agree a response for media. [REDACTED]

I propose going back to the journalists and explaining on background that the order has not yet come into force, that we will be updating travel advice as soon as it does.

Home Office have also been approached by media (asking about how this might cause disruption at airports) and are proposing to go back with the following:

1. This change does not affect British travellers and there has been no change to UK immigration rules.
2. We are not expecting a significant impact at airports. There are systems in place covering people travelling without appropriate visas and these would be followed as normal

I've suggested having a joint HMG response, so could include those points above on background too.

Grateful for thoughts asap.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: 28 January 2017 14:09  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
Subject: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Hi [REDACTED],

I've called and left messages on both of [REDACTED] numbers, and emailed him, but still had nothing back. Do you have any additional contact details for him?

We've had another call from the Wall Street Journal asking the same as the BBC, and the Home Office have had a similar query from the Telegraph, so we're keen to get something agreed that we can deploy ASAP. It might be worth considering a factual reference on the Travel Advice pages too, since it's likely to prompt additional queries.

Copying [REDACTED] as he called asking if we'd had any interest.

		<p>Thanks,</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	
9	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> Fwd: PA: DONALD TRUMP TRAVEL BAN 'UNACCEPTABLY ANTI-MUSLIM', SAYS SIR ALAN DUNCAN</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED]  <b>Sent:</b> 30 January 2017 22:43  <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  <b>Subject:</b> Fwd: PA: DONALD TRUMP TRAVEL BAN 'UNACCEPTABLY ANTI-MUSLIM', SAYS SIR ALAN DUNCAN</p> <p>In case not seen</p> <p>----- Forwarded message -----</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED]  <b>Date:</b> January 30, 2017 at 5:29:12 PM EST  <b>Subject:</b> PA: DONALD TRUMP TRAVEL BAN 'UNACCEPTABLY ANTI-MUSLIM', SAYS SIR ALAN DUNCAN  <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]</p> <p>[Section 21: Information Accessible to applicant by other means]:  <a href="http://home.bt.com/news/uk-news/trump-muslim-ban-like-the-actions-of-a-tinpot-dictatorship-miliband-11364143226250">http://home.bt.com/news/uk-news/trump-muslim-ban-like-the-actions-of-a-tinpot-dictatorship-miliband-11364143226250</a></p>	30 January 2017
10	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> Fwd: WaPo: The White House Battle Over Trump's Immigraion Order</p> <p><b>From:</b> Kim Darroch (Sensitive)  <b>Sent:</b> 04 February 2017 14:58  <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  <b>Subject:</b> Fwd: WaPo: The White House Battle Over Trump's Immigration Order</p> <p>Martin</p> <p>Given the Foreign Secretary's personal involvement in all this over last weekend, he might be interested in this Washington Post piece. [REDACTED]</p> <p>Kim</p>	4 February 2017

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** News Team Washington <[newsteam.washington@gmail.com](mailto:newsteam.washington@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** February 4, 2017 at 09:44:32 EST  
**Subject:** WaPo: The White House Battle Over Trump's Immigration Order  
**To:** News Team Washington <[newsteam.washington@gmail.com](mailto:newsteam.washington@gmail.com)>

**WaPo: The White House Battle Over Trump's Immigration Order**  
By Josh Rogin. 4 February, 2017.

[Section 21: Information Accessible to applicant by other means]:  
<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/josh-rogin/wp/2017/02/04/the-white-house-cabinet-battle-over-trumps-immigration-ban/>

11	Email	<p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED] <b>Sent:</b> 30 January 2017 17:36 <b>To:</b> [REDACTED] <b>Subject:</b> FW: MONITORING: UQ answered by Foreign Secretary on US travel ban</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED] <b>Sent:</b> 30 January 2017 12:25 <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Border Force NCC (Home Office) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; <b>Cc:</b> [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; <b>Subject:</b> RE: MONITORING: UQ answered by Foreign Secretary on US travel ban</p> <p>US embassy has now published new guidance on it's website, which covers those with ILR being eligible to apply for visas: <a href="https://uk.usembassy.gov/updated-guidance-executive-order-protecting-nation-terrorist-attacks-foreign-nationals/">https://uk.usembassy.gov/updated-guidance-executive-order-protecting-nation-terrorist-attacks-foreign-nationals/</a></p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED] <b>Sent:</b> 30 January 2017 17:16 <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Border Force NCC (Home Office) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];</p>	30 Januray 2017
----	-------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------

[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive);  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Subject:** MONITORING: UQ answered by Foreign Secretary on US travel ban

Dear all,

Please find below monitoring of the UQ being answered by the Foreign Secretary. The summary includes elements of the conversation that relate to Home Office functions.

Also below is a selection of tweets and PA copy on the Foreign Secretary's comments.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

#### Monitoring of UQ

**Foreign Secretary:** The travel ban has consequences for some British citizens. The Home Secretary has spoken to General Kelly at the Department of Homeland Security. For clarification, the general principle is that all British passport holders remain welcome to travel to the US. We have received assurances from the US embassy that this executive order will make no difference to any British passport holder irrespective of their country of birth or whether they hold another passport. This is a temporary measure for 90 days until new security precautions are brought in.

**Emily Thornberry (Labour):** Thousands of people in Britain live here on a permanent basis but are also nationals of these other countries but they don't have dual citizenship – they have indefinite leave to remain and are fleeing war. Can you confirm these thousands of British residents are now barred from travel to the US?

**Foreign Secretary:** There will be all sorts of cases where people are experiencing frustration as a result of this measure. This is not a policy of Her Majesty's Government but a policy promoted elsewhere. What we will do is make sure all our consular network is put at the service of people finding difficulties as a result of the measures. We now have an exemption for UK passport holders whether dual passport holders or otherwise.

**Nadhim Zahawi (Conservative):** Will there be updated embassy advice?

**Foreign Secretary:** I can confirm the embassy's advice has been updated as we've been speaking.

**Sir Bill Cash (Conservative):** Is there a universal threat from jihadists?

**Foreign Secretary:** We understand the threat both at home and abroad, which makes it even more vital to work with our American partners to combat that threat.

#### Tweets

**Anushka Asthana** @GuardianAnushka

Asked about McCain and Graham statement, Boris says it shows it is a "subject for lively debate on Capitol hill".

**Anushka Asthana** @GuardianAnushka

Boris Johnson accuses Labour of having a strategy "pointlessly to demonise the Trump administration", and says counterproductive.

**steve hawkes** @steve\_hawkes

"You can learn some lessons from Scotland's First Minister," Tasmina Ahmed Sheikh tells the Tories,

**Jack Blanchard** @Jack\_Blanchard\_

Boris Johnson accuses Labour of trying to "demonise" Donald Trump. Uproar in the Commons

**Jack Blanchard** @Jack\_Blanchard\_

Boris claims the exemption for U.K. dual-nationals "shows the advantages" of working closely with the Trump administration

**Jack Blanchard** @Jack\_Blanchard\_

UK Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson: "We repeat our resolve to work alongside the Trump administration, in the interests of both countries."

**Alan Travis** @alantravis40

Boris Johnson tells MPs that USA temporary Muslim ban will not affect any British passport holder and says ban "not approach UK would take".

**Alan Travis** @alantravis40

US embassy advice on Muslim ban and dual nationals has now been taken down as Boris Johnson prepares to address Commons

**Alan Travis** @alantravis40

US embassy in London this morning advising British dual nationals are caught by Trump ban

**Jack Blanchard** @Jack\_Blanchard\_

Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson says there has been "understandable concern and uncertainty" over Donald Trump's Muslim ban

PA copy

## **JOHNSON CONFIRMS TRUMP TRAVEL BAN WILL NOT AFFECT UK PASSPORT HOLDERS**

HHH POLITICS May

Topic POLITICS

 Published 30 Jan 2017 - 16:40

*By David Hughes, Shaun Connolly and Arj Singh, Press Association Political Staff*

Pages: 1 2

[Section 21: Information Accessible to applicant by other means]

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 16:03

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; Border Force NCC (Home Office) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive);  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Subject:** PA: FALLON STRESSES UK'S DESIRE TO WORK WITH TRUMP TO TACKLE RADICALISATION

All – please find below PA copy reporting Defence Secretary Sir Michael Fallon has stressed Britain's desire to work with Donald Trump to tackle radicalisation, amid pressure to condemn the US president's travel ban.

Fallon stresses UK's desire to work with Trump to tackle radicalisation

PPP COMMONS Trump

Topic COMMONS

🕒 Published 30 Jan 2017 - 15:52

By Richard Wheeler, Press Association Parliamentary Editor

Pages: [1](#) [2](#) [3](#)

[Section 21: Information Accessible to applicant by other means]

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 15:34

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; Border Force NCC (Home Office) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive);  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Subject:** MONITORING: Tweets on US embassy advice

All,

Just to flag the US embassy in London appears to have taken down its travel advice. Please find tweets from journalists at BuzzFeed and the Mail below.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

**Stuart Millar** [Verified account](#) @stuartmillar159 5m5 minutes ago

More

**US embassy** in London has just taken down its travel advice page for dual nationals on the immigration ban

**Matt Dathan** [Verified account](#) @matt\_dathan 6m6 minutes ago

More

**US embassy** was earlier telling Britons with joint nationality not to apply for visas. It's just taken the page down. The chaos continues

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 13:30

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; Border Force NCC (Home Office) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive);  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Subject:** PA - UKIP LEADER: PRESIDENT TRUMP'S EXTREME IMMIGRATION MEASURES  
'LOOK ARBITRARY'

All – please find PA copy below that reports on the travel ban response by  
UKIP Leader Paul Nuttall MEP who said the measures seemed “arbitrary”  
but that President Trump was democratically elected and the state visit  
should not be cancelled.

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

**UKIP LEADER: PRESIDENT TRUMP'S EXTREME IMMIGRATION MEASURES  
'LOOK ARBITRARY'**

HHH POLITICS May Ukip

Topic POLITICS

 Published 30 Jan 2017 - 13:25

*By Richard Vernalls, Press Association*

*(Corrected repetition, headline amended) (with pics)*

**Page 1: 13:25**

[Section 21: Information Accessible to applicant by other means]:

<http://www.itv.com/news/central/2017-01-30/ukip-leader-president-trumps-extreme-immigration-measures-look-arbitrary/>

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 13:28

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; Border Force NCC (Home Office) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive);  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];



We'll see more clarity as the days go by – people will need to check whether the FCO guidance is fine. It will depend what airport you fly into – some are more favourable than others. There is confusion as to who is actually being affected as the order wasn't written clearly.

*If someone here has dual nationality and has a trip planned what should they do?*

Lawyer: Proceed with caution. You need the flexibility to change your trip. We may have more insight in the coming days about dual nationals, and new countries may be added. Our advice is you need to be cautious and perhaps rethink travel and understand your rights. Have counsel on the ground at the airport, be prepared and seek legal advice before going. You can be prepared for the possibilities – there are only a few. You're either going to be admitted, or questioned and then admitted, you may be detained and not admitted, or deported. Every case is different. In a very standard dual national case where a British person maybe with an Iranian passport tries to travel, I would say they need to be wary. But the embassy is not honouring appointments for visas so they won't be able to travel anyway. This will only affect those who are able to travel visa free.

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 12:33

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Subject:** RE: MONITORING: PA: BORIS JOHNSON FACES GRILLING FROM MPS OVER DONALD TRUMP'S UK STATE VISIT

Dear all,

Please find further copy on PA below on the US travel ban and Donald Trump's state visit, including statements from the PM spokesperson.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

**Page 5: 12:11**

A Government source said that after seeing the US Embassy guidance, Mr Johnson "sought clarity from the White House and was informed that the FCO statement was correct".

ends

**Page 6: 12:20**

Number 10 has distanced itself from BBC reports that Downing Street sources rejected calls for the state visit to be cancelled as a "populist

gesture" that would "undo everything" Mrs May achieved on last week's trip to the United States.

During the trip, Mr Trump accepted an invitation to visit Britain later this year, where he is due to be hosted by the Queen and would be treated to all the pomp and ceremony accorded to a state visit.

But Mr Johnson is likely to come under pressure to justify the trip, amid outrage from senior Tories and opposition politicians.

London Mayor Sadiq Khan wrote in the Evening Standard: "We must now rescind the offer of a full state visit for President Trump - until this ban is lifted.

"I don't believe the people of London will support rolling out the red carpet until this happens."

Baroness Warsi, who was the first female Muslim cabinet minister, said the US president should not be given the honour.

Lady Warsi told the BBC Radio 4 Today programme that politics is facing a "crossroads" following Mr Trump's election.

"If we want to continue to be a country that supports liberal, progressive values in which all have equal worth and equal value in our society, then we have to be clear that we voice that view and that opinion, so that people in this country know that whatever crazy things the president of the United States may be doing, it is not what we believe and not what we support."

Conservative former foreign minister Alistair Burt said the "optics of a visit are currently very bad" and suggested American officials should find a way for it not to go ahead.

Tory MP Sarah Wollaston has said Mr Trump must not be invited to address both houses of Parliament from Westminster Hall, pointedly insisting "those who wish to fawn over him" should do so elsewhere.

ends

#### **Page 7: 12:21**

Pressed on why the honour of a state visit had been extended to the president so soon after taking office, the PM's spokesman said normal procedure was followed after recommendations were made by a committee for state visits which works within the Foreign Office.

"There is no set timing that a president needs to be in office before they receive, or don't receive, an invitation for a state visit.

"There is a process for state visits. Each year the Government looks at the recommendations that are made by the committee for state visits, those recommendations are then put to Buckingham Palace, the palace then needs to agree to the visit, then, historically the invitation is extended on behalf of Her Majesty by the Government, and that is the process that took place this time," he said.

The spokesman said he was not aware of the membership of the committee.

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 12:10

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: MONITORING: PA: BORIS JOHNSON FACES GRILLING FROM MPS OVER DONALD TRUMP'S UK STATE VISIT

Hi all,

Please find below PA copy on the conflicting statement from the US embassy in London, a UK Government spokesperson is quoted making clear that the FCO guidance was agreed with the White House.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

## **BORIS JOHNSON FACES GRILLING FROM MPS OVER DONALD TRUMP'S UK STATE VISIT**

Page 1

Boris Johnson faces a grilling from MPs amid widespread calls for Donald Trump's state visit to Britain to be cancelled in the wake of his controversial travel ban on Muslims and refugees.

The Foreign Secretary will make a statement in the House of Commons on Monday afternoon before taking questions from MPs, many of whom have called for the United States' president's visit to be downgraded.

It comes as an online petition calling for Mr Trump to be stripped of the honour was backed by one million people.

Welsh First Minister Carwyn Jones has also spelled out his opposition to a state visit in talks with Theresa May in Cardiff.

A Welsh Government spokesman said: "The First Minister raised serious concerns about how the recent US immigration order was handled by the

UK Government, and his belief that a state visit would be difficult in the current circumstances."

mf

Page 2

The Prime Minister's spokesman said that Theresa May "disagreed" with the ban, but the planned state visit would not be impacted by it, and the petition was a matter for Parliament.

"We don't agree with these restrictions, it is not the way we would do it.

"Where people's rights, UK citizens' rights, have been affected, we have set about getting a clarification to allow them to travel.

"As we pointed out last week, where we disagree with something we are happy to say we disagree with it," he told a regular Westminster briefing.

mfl

Page 3

Mr Johnson is also likely to face questions from MPs amid confusion about the impact of the travel ban on Britons as well as the way the Government has responded.

On Sunday he was assured by Mr Trump's team that Britons who have shared nationality with one of the seven mainly-Muslim countries covered by the restrictions would not be stopped from entering America.

But an urgent notice issued by the US Embassy in the UK on Monday appeared to contradict guidelines issued by the British Foreign Office (FCO) stating dual UK citizens "from one of the seven countries travelling to the US from outside those countries are not affected".

A statement on the Embassy's website said: "Urgent Notice: Per U.S. Presidential Executive Order signed on January 27, 2017, visa issuance to aliens from the countries of Iraq, Iran, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen has been suspended effective immediately until further notification.

"If you are a national, or dual national, of one of these countries, please do not schedule a visa appointment or pay any visa fees at this time.

"If you already have an appointment scheduled, please do not attend your appointment as we will not be able to proceed with your visa interview."

But a UK Government spokesman insisted the FCO guidance "was cleared by the top team in the White House and they are in charge".

Page 4

The PM's spokesman added: "We have extended an invitation to the president, he has accepted it, and it is right that we continue to forge our close relationships."

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 11:35

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: MONITORING: Tweet and BBC News on US embassy saying dual nationals should not apply for visas

All – please see below the urgent notice that has been published on the US embassy website.

US embassy notice on visas

**Urgent Notice:** Per U.S. Presidential Executive Order signed on January 27, 2017, visa issuance to aliens from the countries of Iraq, Iran, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen has been suspended effective immediately until further notification.

If you are a national, or dual national, of one of these countries, please do not schedule a visa appointment or pay any visa fees at this time.

If you already have an appointment scheduled, please DO NOT ATTEND your appointment as we will not be able to proceed with your visa interview. Please note that certain travel for official governmental purposes, related to official business at or on behalf of designated international organizations, on behalf of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, or by certain officials is not subject to this suspension.

Please continue to monitor <https://travel.state.gov> and <http://usvisa-info.com> for further updates.

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 11:28

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** MONITORING: Tweet and BBC News on US embassy saying dual nationals should not apply for visas

All,

Please see below tweet reporting the US embassy in the UK says dual nationals should not apply for visas.

This is also being reported on BBC News.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Daniel Sandford @BBCDaniels

US embassy in UK says do not apply for visas if national/dual national of Iraq/Iran/Libya/Somalia/Sudan/Syria/Yemen

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 10:31

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: FOR INFORMATION: US executive order on immigration impact on UK nationals

Hi [REDACTED],

We will keep you informed. We've just had one this morning from BBC News at One – they came to us because they have been unable to get an FCO minister in time for 1pm. I will decline this shortly and refer her back to FCO.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 10:27

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: FOR INFORMATION: US executive order on immigration impact on UK nationals

Ok, though would like to know what bids we're getting.

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 10:16

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FOR INFORMATION: US executive order on immigration impact on UK nationals

**CLEARED BY:** [REDACTED]

The Foreign Office are expecting the Foreign Secretary to give an oral statement to the House of Commons later today on the implications of the US executive order on immigration. Ahead of this, their press office will be declining all bids on the issue on this basis and referring journalists to the statement that they published yesterday:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/presidential-executive-order-on-inbound-migration-to-us>

Press office will refer all calls on this matter to the FCO and decline all bids on the basis that this is a matter for the FCO, unless we hear otherwise.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

12

Email

Report within FCO

**Subject:** Re: Fwd: OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE US POLITICS: THE FIRST THREE WEEKS: ACTION, CONTROVERSY AND CONFLICT [DIPTTEL 1700555]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 11 February 2017 18:24

**To:** Kara Owen (Sensitive)

**Subject:** Re: Fwd: OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE US POLITICS: THE FIRST THREE WEEKS: ACTION, CONTROVERSY AND CONFLICT [DIPTTEL 1700555]

Thanks Kara

Felt like an important moment to take a step back and put in some analysis looking at some of the trends in Trump's actions so far

Hope alls well in London

[REDACTED]

On February 11, 2017 at 3:41:14 AM EST, Kara Owen (Sensitive) wrote:

[REDACTED]

Cracking diptel. Fascinating analysis and engagingly written.

Kara

11 February  
2017

---  
Sent from Boxer | <http://getboxer.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Diptel WASHINGTON (Sensitive)  
**Date:** 10 February 2017 at 23:22:44 GMT  
**Subject:** OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE US POLITICS: THE FIRST THREE WEEKS:  
ACTION, CONTROVERSY AND CONFLICT [DIPTTEL 1700555]  
**To:** [REDACTED]

Diptel WASHINGTON (Sensitive)  
Foreign & Commonwealth Office Diplomatic Telegram

[Rate this DipTel](#)      [Add a Comment](#)

Summary  
[REDACTED]

President Trump has now been in office for three weeks. The pace has been hectic and the Administration has been ticking off campaign promises at a fair clip. For the Administration's core supporters the list of wins is growing daily: [REDACTED] the executive order banning immigrants from seven Muslim-majority nations as well as a complete hold on refugee resettlement for the time being. [REDACTED]

### **Trump vs. The Judiciary**

3. [REDACTED]  
**Video killed the radio star**

5. [REDACTED]  
**Congressional conflict**

7. [REDACTED]  
**COMMENT**

8. [REDACTED]DARROCH  
Authorised  
Kim Darroch | HMA | [REDACTED]  
Contact  
[REDACTED]

Action

Cc

Diptel Americas Posts (Sensitive)  
Diptel G20 Posts (Sensitive)  
Diptel EU Posts (Sensitive)  
Diptel FCO Americas (Sensitive)  
Diptel FCO Policy Unit (Sensitive)  
Diptel OGD No 10 (Sensitive)

		<p>Diptel OGD CabOff (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD HMT (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD HO (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD MOJ (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD Attorney General (Sensitive)</p>	
13	Email	<p>Report within FCO  <b>Subject:</b> FW: EO / [REDACTED] OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED]  <b>Sent:</b> 09 February 2017 13:09  <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]  <b>Cc:</b> Kara Owen (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Jennifer Cole (Sensitive)  <b>Subject:</b> FW: EO / [REDACTED] OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE  <b>Importance:</b> High</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>Your email to Kara below asked for advice on upcoming Executive Orders. See below a short draft reply to [REDACTED] and attached a summary of the 7 anticipated EOs.</p> <p>[REDACTED] will input on GTMO.</p> <p>Happy to discuss of course – [REDACTED].</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>Dear [REDACTED]</p> <p>President Trump signed 8 Executive Orders in the first two weeks of his Presidency.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	9 February 2017
14	Email	<p>Report within FCO  <b>Subject:</b> US Politics Daily Digest – 30 January OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE</p> <p>[EXTRACT]</p> <p><b>US POLITICS DAILY DIGEST – 30 January</b></p>	30 January 2017

## Latest Developments

### Executive Order on Immigration

- On Friday (27 January), President Trump signed the Executive Order 'Protecting the Nation from foreign terrorist entry into the United States' which, amongst other measures, bars for 90 days the entry of any citizen from Iraq, Iran, Syria, Yemen, Sudan, Libya and Somalia.
- The administration is standing firm, despite court rulings and mass protests against the measure. In a statement, Trump said visas would once again be issued once "the most secure policies" were in place, and denied it was a ban on Muslims.
- The Foreign Secretary last night secured confirmation from the White House that British dual nationals of the seven countries affected by the order should face no issues when travelling to the United States, unless they were travelling directly from one of those seven nations. Further details in this statement.
- [REDACTED]

### List of confirmed orders so far

Since assuming the Presidency Trump has ordered:

- a temporary ban on immigration of refugees and residents from seven nations;
- [REDACTED]

15	Email	<p>Report within FCO <b>Subject:</b> US Politics Daily Digest – 6 February OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p>[EXTRACT]</p> <p><b>US POLITICS DAILY DIGEST – 7 FEBRUARY</b></p> <p><b>Latest Developments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The US Justice department has urged an appeals court to reinstate President Trump's executive order on immigration in the interests of national security. A hearing has been set for this evening (7 February) at 2300 GMT on whether to allow or reject the ban. Trump tweeted yesterday that the threat from radical Islamic terrorism was "very real" and that the courts "must act fast".</li><li>- [REDACTED]</li></ul> <p><b>Executive Orders</b></p>	7 February 2017
----	-------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- [REDACTED]</li> </ul> <p>Since assuming the Presidency Trump has ordered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a temporary ban on immigration of refugees and residents from seven nations;</li> <li>- [REDACTED]</li> </ul>	
16	Email	<p>Report within FCO  <b>Subject:</b> US Politics Daily Digest – 10 February OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p><b>[EXTRACT]</b></p> <p><b>US POLITICS DAILY DIGEST – 10 FEBRUARY</b></p> <p><b>Latest Developments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The 9<sup>th</sup> US Circuit Court of Appeals in San Francisco rejected the President’s bid to revive his ban on immigration from seven mainly Muslim countries. The case could end up in the Supreme Court. Trump has tweeted an all-caps response: “SEE YOU IN COURT, THE SECURITY OF OUR NATION IS AT STAKE!” An update is going to the Foreign Secretary.</li> </ul> <p><b>Executive Orders</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- [REDACTED]</li> </ul> <p>Since assuming the Presidency Trump has ordered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a temporary ban on immigration of refugees and residents from seven nations;</li> <li>- [REDACTED]</li> </ul>	10 February 2017
17	Email	<p>Report within FCO  <b>Subject:</b> Re: Next round of executive orders OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED]  <b>Sent:</b> 13 February 2017 02:46  <b>To:</b> Jennifer Cole (Sensitive)  <b>Subject:</b> Re: Next round of executive orders OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p>Hi [REDACTED],</p> <p>So sorry that I'm only picking this up now...I am drowning under emails and intro calls.</p>	13 February 2017

		<p>Moment has probably past, [REDACTED]. That didn't happen and we don't have any further information about timing ([REDACTED]). The new immigration order might well be the next one.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>On 9 February 2017 at 15:02:22 GMT-5, Jennifer Cole (Sensitive) wrote:</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	
18	Word	<p><b>Title:</b> A6 Bear Traps MLG changes (003)</p> <p>[Potential Questions/Bear Traps:]</p> <p>[EXTRACT]</p> <p><b>14. Bear trap: IMMIGRATION – we should support his policy of “extreme vetting” from ‘various parts of the world including Europe that have lots of terrorism problems’.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Answer: We need to secure our borders and keep our people safe; let’s work together on that. But immigration policy must <b><u>not</u></b> be based on race, colour, gender or creed.</li> </ul>	6 February 2017
19	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE US: TRUMP ORDERS THE WALL WITH MEXICO AND ENHANCED IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT [DIPTTEL 1700294]</p> <p><b>From:</b> Diptel WASHINGTON (Sensitive)  <b>Sent:</b> 26 January 2017 00:49  <b>To:</b> Diptel FCO (Sensitive)  <b>Subject:</b> OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE US: TRUMP ORDERS THE WALL WITH MEXICO AND ENHANCED IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT [DIPTTEL 1700294]</p> <p>Diptel WASHINGTON (Sensitive)  Foreign &amp; Commonwealth Office Diplomatic Telegram</p> <p><a href="#">Rate this DipTel</a>      <a href="#">Add a Comment</a></p> <p><b>Summary</b>  [REDACTED] A leaked draft Executive Order suggests further action soon on visa, immigration and refugee systems. [REDACTED]</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>What next?</u></li> </ol>	26 January 2017

4. During the day there has been widespread speculation about further Executive Orders that might be issued soon. This has been fed by two apparently leaked official documents.
5. The first leak - a draft Executive Order for "*Protecting the Nation from Terrorist Attacks by Foreign Nationals*" would, if signed by the President, order:
  - The suspension for 30 days of visas to nationals of "countries of Particular Concern" (Iraq, Iran, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen);
  - New vetting procedures for all immigrants to ensure that those admitted do not "bear hostile attitudes toward our country and its founding principles";
  - Reviews of information sharing arrangements with foreign governments;
  - Suspension all refugee resettlement programme for 120 days while procedures are reviewed, with the future prioritisation of refugees based on minority religious persecution;
  - Suspension of all resettlement of Syrian refugees until further notice (and the development of a plan within 90 days on how to provide safe areas in Syria and surrounding region).
  - The reduction of overall refugee numbers that will admitted to the US in 2017 from 100,000 to 50,000

[REDACTED]DARROCH

Authorised

Patrick Davies | DHM | [REDACTED] |

Contact

[REDACTED]

Action

[REDACTED]

Cc

Diptel Americas Posts (Sensitive)

Diptel BAGHDAD (Sensitive)

Diptel BASRA (Sensitive)

Diptel EU Posts (Sensitive)

Diptel TEHRAN (Sensitive)

Diptel MOGADISHU (Sensitive)

Diptel SANAA

Diptel KHARTOUM (Sensitive)

Diptel Tripoli (Sensitive)

Diptel G8 Posts (Sensitive)

Diptel OGD Attorney General (Sensitive)

Diptel OGD CabOff (Sensitive)

Diptel OGD DExEU (Sensitive)

Diptel OGD DFID (Sensitive)

Diptel OGD DIT (Sensitive)

		<p>Diptel OGD HMRC (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD HMT (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD HO (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD Home Office OSCT (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD MOD (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD MOJ (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD NCA (Sensitive)  Diptel OGD No 10 (Sensitive)  Diptel OTTAWA (Sensitive)</p>	
20	Word	<p><b>Title:</b> Trump Executive Order</p> <p><b>From:</b> White House Press Office  <b>Date:</b> January 27, 2017 at 18:58:32 EST  <b>To:</b>  <b>Subject:</b> Presidential Executive Order on Protecting the Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into The United States  <b>Reply-To:</b> &lt;<a href="mailto:whitehouse-noreply@messages.whitehouse.gov">whitehouse-noreply@messages.whitehouse.gov</a>&gt;</p> <p>[Section 21: Information Accessible to applicant by other means]:</p> <p><a href="https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/01/27/executive-order-protecting-nation-foreign-terrorist-entry-united-states">https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/01/27/executive-order-protecting-nation-foreign-terrorist-entry-united-states</a></p>	27 January 2017
21	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> RE: Consular: US Executive Order on in bound immigration to the US OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED]  <b>Sent:</b> 30 January 2017 14:45  <b>To:</b> [REDACTED] Stephen Bridges (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Antonia Romeo (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Chris O'Connor (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  <b>Cc:</b> [REDACTED] Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  <b>Subject:</b> RE: Consular: US Executive Order on in bound immigration to the US OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p>All,</p> <p>[REDACTED] Updated Travel Advice is on its way. In short, UK passport holders (regardless of country of birth or whether they hold another passport/nationality) are not affected by the Executive Order. UK legal residents (i.e. an Iraqi national living in London) who are citizens of one of the seven countries are able to apply for visas, and may be subject to additional screening at their port of entry into the US.</p>	30 January 2017

Thanks

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 09:28

**To:** [REDACTED] Stephen Bridges (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Antonia Romeo (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Chris O'Connor (Sensitive) [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED] Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Consular: US Executive Order on in bound immigration to the US  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

All,

You will have seen media coverage over the weekend following President Trump's Executive Order on temporary immigration measures to the US for those from Libya, Iran, Iraq, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen, and the potential implications for British nationals with dual nationality, or mono-British nationals who were born in one of these countries.

[REDACTED]

Although we haven't received any requests from British nationals over the weekend it is possible that some will come to light today. I've asked your Consular Teams to keep me informed of any detentions of British nationals who were affected by the new measures, and continue to do so moving forward.

British nationals asking for advice on whether they are affected by the measures should be referred to our Travel Advice and the statement from the FS.

As ever, happy to chat.

[REDACTED]

22

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** RE: Does Trump's EO on Border security impact Privacy Shield and DPPA?

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 26 January 2017 19:14

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Hazel Cameron (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Ivan Smyth (Sensitive); [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Does Trump's EO on Border security impact Privacy Shield and DPPA?  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED], colleagues

I thought you should have a heads up on an issue that looks like it will break shortly.

		<p>Yesterday Trump signed two Executive Orders (EO) on Border Security and Immigration Enforcement (reporting diptel attached). Section 14 in the EO <i>Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States</i> reads:</p> <p><i>“Sec. 14. Privacy Act. Agencies shall, to the extent consistent with applicable law, ensure that their privacy policies exclude persons who are not United States citizens or lawful permanent residents from the protections of the Privacy Act regarding personally identifiable information.”</i></p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>I will monitor from here but would welcome any suggestions from colleagues in London and UKRep.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	
23	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> Re: Exec Order: Views from State and the Canadians</p> <p>On 29 January 2017 at 17:52:29 GMT, Patrick Davies (Sensitive) [REDACTED] wrote: Kim/Kara,</p> <p>I have just spoken separately to [REDACTED]</p> <p>State</p> <p>[REDACTED] the Executive Order clearly and explicitly applied to dual nationals and US Green Card holders. State Department's guidance to its stations (including visa operations) was clear on this. DHS's guidance to its posts - which [REDACTED] - said the same.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>Canada</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p><i>Patrick</i></p> <p><b>Patrick Davies OBE</b>   Deputy Head of Mission   British Embassy   <a href="#">3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW</a>   <a href="#">Washington DC</a>   <a href="#">20008</a>   <a href="#">USA</a>   [REDACTED]</p>	29 January 2017
24	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> FW: Executive Order OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p>	30 January 2017

**From:** Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 14:25  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** DL PO - PS & SPADS (Sensitive); Kim Darroch (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); PUS Action (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive)[REDACTED]; Heywood, Jeremy - (OFFICIAL); [REDACTED]; Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Simon McDonald (PUS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Sedwill Mark; [REDACTED] Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Executive Order OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

To see confirmation from the Embassy [REDACTED] (I will ask them to issue a statement to this effect asap.)

Martin

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 14:20  
**To:** Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive)  
**Subject:** Executive Order

[REDACTED]

UK passport holders (regardless of country of birth or whether they hold another passport/nationality) are not affected by the Executive Order. UK legal residents who are citizens of one of the seven countries are able to apply for visas, and may be subject to additional screening at their port of entry into the US.

[REDACTED]  
 US Embassy, London

**Official**  
**UNCLASSIFIED**

25	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> Executive orders: impact assessment</p> <p><b>From:</b> Kara Owen (Sensitive)  <b>Sent:</b> 30 January 2017 08:59  <b>To:</b> Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Freya Jackson (Sensitive); Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jennifer Cole (Sensitive)  <b>Cc:</b> Jonathan Allen (Sensitive)  <b>Subject:</b> Executive orders: impact assessment</p> <p>All</p>	30 January 2017
----	-------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------

This weekend saw the impact the President's executive orders can have on our nationals [REDACTED]. Many of these orders will no doubt be issued just as London is going to sleep.

I would like us to establish a system for assessing impact of the orders on U.K. interests (if any) and offering quick advice on what to do about it to the right readership (including senior readers in Fco and Whitehall, press and private offices).

In addition, I would welcome any other predictions about EOs foreshadowed during the campaign and likely to touch on our interests - he is doing a lot of what he said he would.

Once [REDACTED] is free of today's briefing requirements (fs statement and tillerson call), grateful if he could discuss with BEW.

Thanks

Kara

---

Sent from Boxer | <http://getboxer.com>

26

Email

Report within FCO

30 January  
2017

**Subject:** RE: FCO Statement & TA - for Urgent Views

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 10:58

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: FCO Statement & TA - for Urgent Views

[REDACTED],

No 10 are content for us to proceed with the amendment below  
[REDACTED]

Grateful if you could get this change now uploaded. Thanks to all involved in this over the weekend.

Best wishes,

[REDACTED].

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 29 January 2017 16:22  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: FCO Statement & TA - for Urgent Views

Looping in colleagues here – [REDACTED] (Consular).

[REDACTED]

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy |  
3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA  
[REDACTED]

On January 29, 2017 at 4:13:26 PM EST, [REDACTED]> wrote:  
Thanks Patrick. Also copying [REDACTED] who is on duty for us.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 29 January 2017 20:39  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: FCO Statement: Presidential executive order on inbound migration to US

[REDACTED] – Good to see the statement. We still haven't updated our TA – but we should even if along the bland lines I suggested earlier (see below). It's bound to come up in Parliament tomorrow. Let me know what you think. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** Global Response Centre (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 29 January 2017 20:17  
**To:** Global Response Centre (Sensitive)  
**Subject:** FCO Statement: Presidential executive order on inbound migration to US

[REDACTED]

Newsdesk

Media Office | Foreign and Commonwealth Office | 020 7008 3100

All the latest news is available on the Foreign Office page of the [gov.uk](http://www.gov.uk) website at: [www.gov.uk/fco](http://www.gov.uk/fco)

Follow the Foreign Office on twitter [@foreignoffice](https://twitter.com/foreignoffice)  
Follow the Foreign Office on [facebook](https://www.facebook.com/foreignoffice) and [Google+](https://plus.google.com/+ForeignOffice)  
Subscribe to the [announcements feed](#) or [email alerts](#) to get our latest news and announcements

27	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> FCO Statement: Presidential executive order on inbound migration to US</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED] <b>Sent:</b> 29 January 2017 21:36 <b>Subject:</b> FCO Statement: Presidential executive order on inbound migration to US</p> <p>All,</p> <p>There has been considerable reporting over the weekend on travel restrictions to the US.</p> <p>We have now released the following public statement which clarifies the situation for British/Dual nationals.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	29 January 2017
28	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> RE: FW: Executive Order OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p><b>From:</b> Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive) <b>Sent:</b> 30 January 2017 14:53 <b>To:</b> Patrick Davies (Sensitive) <b>Subject:</b> RE: FW: Executive Order OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>Martin</p>	30 January 2017

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 14:52  
**To:** Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive)  
**Subject:** RE: FW: Executive Order OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED]

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy | [3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) | [REDACTED]

On January 30, 2017 at 9:50:33 AM EST, Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive) <[REDACTED]> wrote:  
*Great!*

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 14:48  
**To:** Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive)  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Executive Order OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

[REDACTED]

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy | [3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) [REDACTED]

On January 30, 2017 at 9:24:44 AM EST, Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive) <[\[REDACTED\]](#)> wrote:  
*To see confirmation from the Embassy [REDACTED] (I will ask them to issue a statement to this effect asap.)*

*Martin*

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 14:20  
**To:** Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive)  
**Subject:** Executive Order

[REDACTED]

UK passport holders (regardless of country of birth or whether they hold another passport/nationality) are not affected by the Executive Order. UK legal residents

who are citizens of one of the seven countries are able to apply for visas, and may be subject to additional screening at their port of entry into the US.

[REDACTED]  
US Embassy, London

**Official**  
**UNCLASSIFIED**

29

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** Re: Fwd: FCO Statement: Presidential executive order on inbound migration to US

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 20:59

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: Fwd: FCO Statement: Presidential executive order on inbound migration to US

Thanks. [REDACTED]

On January 29, 2017 at 3:41:54 PM EST, [REDACTED]> wrote:  
[REDACTED]

As promised.

[REDACTED] working on a q & a which he'll share with you.

[REDACTED]

I think Spads are tweeting from FS too.

[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Global Response Centre (Sensitive)" <[\[REDACTED\]](#)>

Date: 29 Jan 2017 8:17 p.m.

Subject: FCO Statement: Presidential executive order on inbound migration to US

To: "Global Response Centre (Sensitive)" <[\[REDACTED\]](#)>

Cc:

[REDACTED]

Newsdesk

Media Office | Foreign and Commonwealth Office | 020 7008 3100

All the latest news is available on the Foreign Office page of the gov.uk website at: [www.gov.uk/fco](http://www.gov.uk/fco)

Follow the Foreign Office on twitter @foreignoffice  
Follow the Foreign Office on facebook and Google+  
Subscribe to the announcements feed or email alerts to get our latest news and announcements

30

Email

Report within FCO

28 January  
2017

**Subject:** Re: Fwd: RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Sent using Boxer

On 28 Jan 2017 8:23 p.m., [REDACTED] wrote:

[REDACTED] - not sure if there's other traffic on this but you should be aware that US media is reporting that dual nationals from the 7 countries banned entry to the US will also be denied entry. BE Washington have been trying to get clarity from State without luck so far. If true, this would obviously mean thousands of dual nationals with British passports being banned from travelling to the US. Not great after the PM visit.

[REDACTED] (today's duty officer) is in touch with No 10.

[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 28 Jan 2017 7:51 p.m.

Subject: RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED], "Nicola McAllister (Sensitive)" [REDACTED]>, "Patrick Davies (Sensitive)" [REDACTED], "Samantha Job (Sensitive)" [REDACTED] Global Response Centre (Sensitive)" [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED]

US media now reporting that the ban does apply to dual nationals eg the story below. I'll call to confirm you've got this.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDCATED]  
**Sent:** 28 January 2017 14:01  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Nicola McAllister (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

All,

No10 want to stick to the following lines for now:

- There has been no change to UK immigration rules.
- There are systems in place covering people seeking to transit the UK without appropriate visas and these will be followed as normal.

And then revisit tomorrow. I've copied in [REDACTED] who is tomorrow's duty press officer so he's aware of the discussion.

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 January 2017 18:04  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Nicola McAllister (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Thanks [REDACTED]. Will let no10 this is our approach unless anyone thinks otherwise?

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer  
On 28 Jan 2017 5:49 p.m., [REDACTED] wrote:  
[REDACTED],

Thanks. [REDACTED] [Reuters is reporting](#) that DHS have said that it will affect US Green Card holders, [REDACTED]

I think your suggestion on background is sensible and the only thing we can offer at the moment.

I hope this helps.

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 28 January 2017 12:38  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Nicola McAllister (Sensitive) [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

[REDACTED],

Are we any closer to getting clarity on impact for brits/dual nationals? No10 are asking. One solution is to say to journos on background that we are looking into it, will let them know as soon as possible and we regularly update travel advice?

[REDACTED]

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 January 2017 10:07  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]'s the expert and is urgently seeking clarity from State Dept [REDACTED] But two important quick points:  
- the changes came into force yesterday; and  
- we don't know if British travellers will be affected. Dual nationals might be.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 January 2017 14:09  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Hi [REDACTED],

I've called and left messages on both of [REDACTED]'s numbers, and emailed him, but still had nothing back. Do you have any additional contact details for him?

We've had another call from the Wall Street Journal asking the same as the BBC, and the Home Office have had a similar query from the Telegraph, so we're keen to get something agreed that we can deploy ASAP. It might be worth considering a factual reference on the Travel Advice pages too, since it's likely to prompt additional queries.

Copying [REDACTED] as he called asking if we'd had any interest.

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

31

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** Re: Fwd: US entry ban/BNs - Parliament tomorrow

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 23:49

**To:** [REDACTED]; Kim Darroch (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: Fwd: US entry ban/BNs - Parliament tomorrow

Patrick / [REDACTED],

I had the EU delegation [REDACTED] on the phone too and explained the background. ([REDACTED] I think the answer is that we haven't sought a special U.K. only exemption but have sought specific clarity on how the EO applies to British passport holders).

[REDACTED]

---

Sent from Boxer | <http://getboxer.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** January 29, 2017 at 5:52:25 PM EST

**Subject:** US entry ban/BNs - ParLiamment tomorrow

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED] Hudson Scott; [REDACTED], Jonathan Allen (Sensitive) [REDACTED] SOSFA Action (Sensitive) [REDACTED] Kara Owen (Sensitive) [REDACTED] DL PO - PS & SPADS (Sensitive) [REDACTED] Simon McGee (Sensitive) [REDACTED], Patrick Davies (Sensitive) [REDACTED] Global Response Centre (Sensitive) [REDACTED] Kenny Bowie (Home Office) (Sensitive) [REDACTED] PUS Action (Sensitive) <[PUS.Action@fco.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:PUS.Action@fco.gsi.gov.uk)>

Patrick, [REDACTED],

After discussions with SpAds and the Foreign Secretary here, and Home Office/No 10, we think we should plan on the basis the Foreign Secretary will look to do a statement to the House tomorrow on the US Executive Order on foreign nationals and what that means for UK nationals.

This is not yet confirmed, but grateful if you could start work on this basis tomorrow morning – I presume we will need to discuss timing with the Leader of the House and prepare a statement/briefing. Much of this will be able to draw on the Foreign Secretary's statement, but will also need to reflect developments overnight.

We'll be in touch tomorrow morning to confirm if this will go ahead.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

32

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** RE: No 10 statement

On January 29, 2017 at 4:47:36 PM EST, [REDACTED] wrote:

Thanks Kara: the Foreign Secretary is keen that we press this within the US system.

[REDACTED]

Best wishes,

[REDACTED]

**From:** Kara Owen (Sensitive)

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 21:09

**To:** [REDACTED]; Hugh Elliott (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); Julia Longbottom (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Simon McGee (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: No 10 statement

Just spoke to -Patrick d. [REDACTED]

Kara

---

Sent from Boxer | <http://getboxer.com>

On 29 January 2017 at 20:50:44 GMT, [REDACTED] wrote:  
As agreed with No 10 we have put out the statement at the bottom of this email.

Based on initial calls the Q&A I need is also below with suggested answers but please confirm if anything is incorrect:

1. Who did FS speak to?

[REDACTED]

2. Is this a special deal for the UK? What is the situation for other countries?

This is the clarification the FS has been given by the US Government on what the order means for UK nationals.

3. What advice do you give to Dual nationals looking to travel to the US from one of the countries on the list? Will they be detained/deported.

As mentioned in the statement, they may be subject to extra checks by US Immigration on arrival.

The Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson has today held conversations with the US Government and as a result we can clarify that:

The Presidential executive order only applies to individuals travelling from one of the seven named countries.

If you are travelling to the US from anywhere other than one of those countries (for instance, the UK) the executive order does not apply to you and you will experience no extra checks regardless of your nationality or your place of birth.

If you are a UK national who happens to be travelling from one of those countries to the US, then the order does not apply to you – even if you were born in one of those countries.

If you are a dual citizen of one of those countries travelling to the US from OUTSIDE those countries then the order does not apply to you.

The only dual nationals who might have extra checks are those coming from one of the seven countries themselves – for example a UK-Libya dual national coming from Libya to the US.

The US has reaffirmed its strong commitment to the expeditious processing of all travellers from the United Kingdom.

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 19:09

**To:** [REDACTED] Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Julia Longbottom (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); Simon McGee (Sensitive); Hugh Elliott (Sensitive)

**Subject:** No 10 statement

All,

[REDACTED]

As soon as it issues we'll need to make sure our travel advice is updated in line with this. There may be other things we need to consider too.

[REDACTED] has asked that this remains on a small chain, although this may soon be public.

[REDACTED]

Sent using Boxer

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** 29 Jan 2017 6:56 p.m.

**Subject:** Here it is

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:**

The FS has today held conversations with the US Government and as a result we can clarify that:

The Presidential executive order only applies to individuals travelling from one of the seven named countries.

If you are travelling to the US from anywhere other than one of those countries (for instance, the UK) the executive order does not apply to you and you will experience no extra checks regardless of your nationality or your place of birth.

If you are a UK national who happens to be travelling from one of those countries to the US, then the order does not apply to you – even if you were born in one of those countries.

If you are a dual citizen of one of those countries travelling to the US from OUTSIDE those countries then the order does not apply to you.

The only dual nationals who might have extra checks are those coming from one of the seven countries themselves - e.g. a UK-Libya dual national coming from Libya to the US

The US has reaffirmed its strong commitment to the expeditious processing of all travellers from the United Kingdom.

33

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** Re: O/S: US Visa Changes: Update Note for FS OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

On 29 January 2017 at 16:37:52 GMT, [REDACTED] wrote:

[REDACTED] – Update note for FS as requested. Includes latest public lines from No 10. Lobbying scripts are being prepared for Home Secretary and FS.

[REDACTED]

### **US Visa Changes: Update Note for FS**

#### **Summary**

1. Background. Rationale. Effect on British nationals. [REDACTED] Public Lines. Draft Travel Advice.

#### **Detail**

##### **Background**

[REDACTED]

##### **Impact on UK nationals**

2. The State Dept have confirmed that UK nationals holding dual citizenship of these 7 countries will also be subject to the ban. During the 90 days, no visas will be issued, and those already with visas will not be permitted entry to the US. BE Washington are [REDACTED] trying to confirm whether this also extends to UK nationals born in these countries. Even if it just covers dual nationals, we estimate that this will affect a significant number of UK nationals and is likely to generate considerable media coverage

		<p>(stories of Brits turned back at the airport in the UK or detained (and deported) on arrival in the US.</p> <p>3. We know from the experience of last year's VWP changes that a significant number of Brits will be affected [REDACTED]</p> <p><b>What next?</b></p> <p>4. This EO is time limited for 90 days ie to end April 2017. [REDACTED] The visa process already includes significant background checks, exchange of date between the UK and US, etc.</p> <p>5. The EO has already been the subject of a legal campaign in the US. And we can expect a sustained international campaign in the run up to April. Jeremy Corbyn said today that he would oppose a State visit to the UK by President Trump while this provision remained in force.</p> <p>6. Current public lines and draft travel advice below below. Lobbying scripts for Minister are being provided separately.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p><b>PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT</b></p> <p><b><u>Public line (as at 15:00 29 January)</u></b></p> <p>1. Immigration policy in the United States is a matter for the Government of the United States, just the same as immigration policy for this country should be set by our Government.</p> <p>2. But we do not agree with this kind of approach and it is not one we will be taking. We are studying this new executive order to see what it means and what the legal effects are, and in particular what the consequences are for UK nationals.</p> <p>3. If there is any impact on UK nationals then clearly we will make representations to the US Government about that.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	
34	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> RE: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p>	30 January 2017

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 13:07  
**To:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

Thanks Patrick. It's with Speechwriters now and the FS is due in Parliament by 2pm, so it will be difficult to incorporate comments, unless you see anything in there that rings alarm bells?

[REDACTED]

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 13:03  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

Now received. Thanks. Do you want comments from here. And if so, by when?

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy |  
[3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) |  
[REDACTED]

On January 30, 2017 at 7:59:41 AM EST, [REDACTED] wrote:

Should have been in the attached email – reattaching here. Let me know if that works...

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 12:56  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

Thanks. No speech seems to be attached.

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy |  
[3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) |  
[REDACTED]

On January 30, 2017 at 7:32:54 AM EST, [REDACTED] wrote:  
Patrick,

The FS will now be giving a statement in Parliament this afternoon (we think at 2pm) on the issue, which should mean the UQs are overtaken. Patrick is briefing the FS now. The draft statement attached is being finessed by the FS's speechwriters.

[REDACTED]

**From:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive)  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 12:29  
**To:** Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

To see - seven UQs submitted this morning on the Executive Order. It's not clear if the Speaker has accepted one of the questions ([REDACTED] could you let us know as soon as you hear?).

*Patrick*

**Patrick Davies OBE** | Deputy Head of Mission | British Embassy |  
[3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW | Washington DC | 20008 | USA](#) |  
[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** January 30, 2017 at 5:21:37 AM EST  
**Subject:** RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive) [REDACTED], PRD Action/info (Sensitive) [REDACTED], Patrick Davies (Sensitive) [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Jonathan Allen (Sensitive) [REDACTED], DL UQ automatic circulation list (External) <[DLUQautomaticcirculationlistUnclassified@fco.gov.uk](mailto:DLUQautomaticcirculationlistUnclassified@fco.gov.uk)>

Dear all,

A seventh UQ request on this topic:  
Rt Hon Yvette Cooper MP to ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Executive Order from the President of the United States banning Syrian refugees and arrivals to the US from seven majority Muslim countries.

Again, an updated list below.

James

<b>UQ addressed to</b>	<b>Requesting MP</b>	<b>Text of the UQ</b>
Foreign Secretary	Emily Thornberry MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Government's response to President Trump's Executive Order Protecting the nation from foreign terrorist entry into the United States.
Foreign Secretary	Alison McGovern MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the UK's response to President Trump's executive order banning all travel from certain countries, ending the United States' participation in refugee resettlement, and its implications for government policy.
Prime Minister	Patrick Grady MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the impact of US visa restrictions on UK citizens.
Prime Minister	Angela Smith MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the Government's response to the executive order issued by the President of the United States barring migrants and refugees from several countries from entering the US.
Foreign Secretary	Carol Monaghan MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the current travel restrictions in the US and if he could detail the representations that his department has made to the Government of the United States regarding these travel restrictions.
Foreign Secretary	Stuart C McDonald MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the implications of the US immigration restrictions announced by President Trump.

Foreign Secretary	Yvette Cooper MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Executive Order from the President of the United States banning Syrian refugees and arrivals to the US from seven majority Muslim countries.
-------------------	------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 January 2017 10:11  
**To:** PRD Action/info (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** DL UQ automatic circulation list (External); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

Dear all,

We have yet another UQ:  
 Stuart C McDonald MP to ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the implications of the US immigration restrictions announced by President Trump.

There are also four PNQs for the House of Lords on the same topic on the way. The Lord Speaker is content to accept the same briefing for the PNQs as we'll provide Mr Speaker for the UQs.

Below is an updated list of the UQs:

UQ addressed to	Requesting MP	Text of the UQ
Foreign Secretary	Emily Thornberry MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the Government's response to President Trump's Executive Order Protecting the nation from foreign terrorist entry into the United States.
Foreign Secretary	Alison McGovern MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the UK's response to President Trump's executive order banning all travel from certain countries, ending the United States' participation in refugee resettlement, and its implications for government policy.

Prime Minister	Patrick Grady MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the impact of US visa restrictions on UK citizens.
Prime Minister	Angela Smith MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the Government's response to the executive order issued by the President of the United States barring migrants and refugees from several countries from entering the US.
Foreign Secretary	Carol Monaghan MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the current travel restrictions in the US and if he could detail the representations that his department has made to the Government of the United States regarding these travel restrictions.
Foreign Secretary	Stuart C McDonald MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the implications of the US immigration restrictions announced by President Trump.

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 09:43

**To:** PRD Action/info (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Cc:** DL UQ automatic circulation list (External); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

Dear all,

We have just had two more UQs on the executive order.

Mr Speaker's Office are content to receive a single brief covering all five UQs.

The five UQs so far are:

UQ addressed to	Requesting MP	Text of the UQ
Foreign Secretary	Emily Thornberry MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the

		Government's response to President Trump's Executive Order Protecting the nation from foreign terrorist entry into the United States.
Foreign Secretary	Alison McGovern MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the UK's response to President Trump's executive order banning all travel from certain countries, ending the United States' participation in refugee resettlement, and its implications for government policy.
Prime Minister	Patrick Grady MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the impact of US visa restrictions on UK citizens.
Prime Minister	Angela Smith MP	To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the Government's response to the executive order issued by the President of the United States barring migrants and refugees from several countries from entering the US.
Foreign Secretary	Carol Monaghan MP	To ask the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs if he will make a statement on the current travel restrictions in the US and if he could detail the representations that his department has made to the Government of the United States regarding these travel restrictions.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

**From:** PRD Action/info (Sensitive)

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 09:30

**To:** PRD Action/info (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Cc:** DL UQ automatic circulation list (External); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

A third UQ to the PM:

Patrick Grady MP

To ask the Prime Minister if she will make a statement on the impact of US visa restrictions on UK citizens.

**From:** PRD Action/info (Sensitive)

**Sent:** 30 January 2017 08:54

**To:** [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Cc:** DL UQ automatic circulation list (External); PRD Action/info (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Immediate Action: UQ on US Executive Orders

**Importance:** High

### **IMMEDIATE ACTION: URGENT QUESTION (UQ)**

**Please complete the attached briefing for the Speaker (Annex B) immediately and return it to PRD Action/Info, copied to DL UQ automatic circulation list (External) by 11:40 today. Once you have done that, please start work on the Ministerial briefing template (Annex C).**

The FCO must send urgent information to the Speaker of the House of Commons about this application for a UQs:

*Angela Smith MP*

*To ask the **Prime Minister** if she will make a statement on the Government's response to the executive order issued by the President of the United States barring migrants and refugees from several countries from entering the US.*

*Carol Monaghan MP*

*To ask the Secretary of State for **Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs** if he will make a statement on the current travel restrictions in the US and if he could detail the representations that his department has made to the Government of the United States regarding these travel restrictions.*

**If it is decided to go ahead with a statement today, this will form the basis of the response to the UQs**

The Speaker will consider the request at his daily meeting, and we should know shortly after midday whether the application has been allowed. If allowed, it will take place at either 3.30 and can run for up to an hour, including Q&A. If allowed, it is likely to be taken by (tbc), so his office will be in touch with you about oral briefings, if required.

**Please let PRD know as soon as possible who is preparing the briefing for Mr Speaker.**

### **Completing the Speaker's template**

1. Complete the factual information in the template. We cannot tell the Speaker what decision to take: we can only give him the facts to persuade him that this is not urgent. For those of you working on BB, the headings are:

The facts of the case

Timing

How urgent is the issue?

The extent of Government policy

Is it a local, national or international issue of public importance?

What the Government is doing/has done?

Are legal proceedings underway – exact status or proceedings?

Is there already a PQ on the subject on the Order Paper?

Any other relevant information

[REDACTED]

### **Completing the Minister's briefing template**

As the timings are so tight, you should work on the assumption that the question will be allowed and start preparing the Minister's briefing (Annex C). This includes a response of no more than three minutes, some concise background information and Q&A.

A UQ will be opened by the questioning Member. The Minister will then need to have a response to the question of a maximum of three minutes. If the response exceeds three minutes, the Speaker is likely to cut off the Minister. The questioning Member will then ask follow-up questions, before the floor is opened up to any other MPs. The Minister is not expected to make a closing speech. The whole process can last up to an hour.

If you have any questions, please call PRD on 808 4005.

35

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** Fwd: Re: Points

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 21:18

**To:** [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive)

**Subject:** Fwd: Re: Points

Received by State Dept press lead.

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Trudeau, Elizabeth K [REDACTED]

**Date:** January 29, 2017 at 4:13:42 PM EST

**Subject:** Re: Points

**To:** Toner, Mark C [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [\[REDACTED\]](#)

Thank you.

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Sunday, January 29, 2017 4:11 PM

**To:** Toner, Mark C; [REDACTED]

**Cc:** Trudeau, Elizabeth K; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: Points

Hi guys

We've just issued the statement below, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

FCO statement on what the Presidential executive order on inbound migration to US means to British nationals and dual nationals

The Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson has today held conversations with the US Government and as a result we can clarify that:

The Presidential executive order only applies to individuals travelling from one of the seven named countries.

If you are travelling to the US from anywhere other than one of those countries (for instance, the UK) the executive order does not apply to you and you will experience no extra checks regardless of your nationality or your place of birth.

If you are a UK national who happens to be travelling from one of those countries to the US, then the order does not apply to you – even if you were born in one of those countries.

If you are a dual citizen of one of those countries travelling to the US from OUTSIDE those countries then the order does not apply to you.

The only dual nationals who might have extra checks are those coming from one of the seven countries themselves – for example a UK-Libya dual national coming from Libya to the US.

The US has reaffirmed its strong commitment to the expeditious processing of all travellers from the United Kingdom.

On January 29, 2017 at 11:04:43 AM EST, Toner, Mark C [REDACTED] wrote:

Saw it. But thanks for sharing, [REDACTED] !

Sent from my iPad

On Jan 29, 2017, at 10:58 AM, [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi guys

You've probably seen but in case not, I've pasted below Number 10's statement from last night.

[REDACTED]

PM's spokesman said:

Immigration policy in the United States is a matter for the Government of the United States, just the same as immigration policy for this country should be set by our Government.

But we do not agree with this kind of approach and it is not one we will be taking.

We are studying this new executive order to see what it means and what the legal effects are, and in particular what the consequences are for UK nationals.

If there is any impact on UK nationals then clearly we will make representations to

		<p>the US Government about that.</p> <p>On January 28, 2017 at 3:57:29 PM EST, [REDACTED] wrote: Thanks - really helpful.</p> <p>The dual nationals angle will of course be really difficult for us. I'll come back to you when I have more on our response.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	
36	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> SENSITIVE: Note of Conference Call - US Executive Order OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p><b>From:</b> Global Response Centre (Sensitive) <b>Sent:</b> 29 January 2017 11:23 <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] <b>Cc:</b> Global Response Centre (Sensitive) <b>Subject:</b> SENSITIVE: Note of Conference Call - US Executive Order OFFICIAL_SENSITIVE</p> <p>Record of this morning's teleconference call.</p> <p>[REDACTED] GRC</p> <p><b>From:</b> BorderForceNCC [REDACTED] <b>Sent:</b> 29 January 2017 11:11 <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED] <b>Cc:</b> [REDACTED] <b>Subject:</b> OFFICIAL SENSITIVE: Note of Conference Call - US Executive Order</p> <p>Richard Clarke (Home Office International Director) chaired a brief conference call regarding POTUS' recent Executive Order (EO) restricting travel to the US by visa nationals from Sudan, Somalia, Libya, Iran Yemen, Syria and Iraq.</p> <p><u>Politics and Handling</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A note had been sent to No10. [REDACTED]</li> <li>• HMG press lines have been agreed by No10 and widely circulated. FCO and HO press offices in contact.</li> </ul> <p><u>Current US position</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Precise details remain unclear, but at this stage we understand that:</li> </ul>	29 January 2017

- An order from a New York court has clarified that those in scope of the EO who have reached the US will not be deported; however, it is unclear whether they will be subject to detention.
- [REDACTED]
- The EO applies to mono nationals of the seven countries, dual nationals and mono nationals born in those countries.

UK impact and position

- FCO Global Response Centre (GRC) have taken a small number of calls from concerned UK nationals in the US (2) or planning to travel to the US (6)
- Border Force have so far experienced very few issues at the border either from concerned passengers raising general queries or passengers in transit to the US
- The volume of pax impacted by the EO transiting the UK is small (more likely to route: US- Dubai – Middle East / North East Africa, rather than via UK)
- [REDACTED].

Actions and Next Steps (actions in bold)

[REDACTED]

Home Sec has a congratulatory call scheduled with new Director Homeland Security [Secretary Kelly] and a Home Affairs Select Committee Appearance imminently

- **Home Sec's Office to revert to group with tasking as necessary [Complete]**

**Press Office to circulate agreed lines and statement [Complete]**

**Richard Clarke to liaise with contact in Homeland Security for further detail**

**Richard Clarke to liaise with OSCT and Home Office Domestic Extremism unit regarding potential UK activism/ adverse reaction**

**Richard Clarke and Kara Owen to talk to Cabinet Office NSS to ensure they are in the loop**

**Post in Washington and Miami to continue to liaise with US interlocutors and report back to the group as detail emerges**

**Can those undertaking actions please refer outcomes or additional information back to BF NCC who will continue to coordinate outside office hours.**

No plans for a further call today

If there are any omissions from CC list please contact NCC. Apologies to anyone who receives this message twice, I am attempting to align several copy lists.

Kind Regards  
Charlotte Dore

Assistant Director  
National Operations and Command Centre (NOCC)

**Border Force,**  
[REDACTED]

This email and its attachments are marked Official – Sensitive and contains sensitive information. In view of this please consider the following:

- **Please seriously consider if you need to print the document as any subsequent loss will be considered a serious security breach.**
- If you do not have a business need to receive the attached, please reply to this message to enable us to remove you from our dissemination lists.
- This document should not be left open on screens when you are away from the computer or distracted by another task (in line with the Information Management 10 golden rules – ‘always lock your computer before leaving your desk.’);
- The intelligence or content within this document must not be copied or the wording transferred to another document. Requests to quote from the document must be made to the originator.

37

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 07:52

**To:** [REDACTED]; Kim Darroch (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; PUS Action (Sensitive); Karen Pierce (Sensitive); Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Nicola McAllister (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Updated No10 line below. Already running in [BBC](#).

PM's spokesperson:

Immigration policy in the United States is a matter for the Government of the United States, just the same as immigration policy for this country should be set by our Government.

But we do not agree with this kind of approach and it is not one we will be taking.

We are studying this new executive order to see what it means and what the legal effects are, and in particular what the consequences are for UK nationals.

If there is any impact on UK nationals then clearly we will make representations to the US Government about that.

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 29 January 2017 02:41  
**To:** Kim Darroch (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; PUS Action (Sensitive); Karen Pierce (Sensitive); Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED] Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Nicola McAllister (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED];  
**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

GRC,

To follow on from this, it looks as though the stay relates only to those who are currently detained by US immigration officials in the US (i.e. those who were already travelling when the EO came into effect and have been detained at US airports). The ruling allows them to stay in the US and not be returned to the country they travelled from. It's not clear whether they will remain in immigration custody, or be released. [REDACTED]

The remainder of the EO stays in force, but is still subject to further legal challenges. This means that those who are currently restricted from entering the US will not be allowed to board US-bound flights.

Obviously the situation is fluid and further details may emerge as the night progresses.

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 January 2017 21:11  
**To:** Kim Darroch (Sensitive); Global Response Centre (Sensitive); Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; PUS Action (Sensitive); Karen Pierce (Sensitive); Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Nicola McAllister (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED];  
**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

GRC,

A Federal Judge in New York has just ordered an emergency stay against the implementation of the EO issued by President Trump yesterday. No doubt, media outlets will provide further details in the coming hours.

[REDACTED]

**From:** Global Response Centre (Sensitive)

**Sent:** 28 January 2017 20:03

**To:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); [REDACTED];

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; PUS Action (Sensitive); Karen Pierce (Sensitive); Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); Hannah Dudley (Sensitive); Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Nicola McAllister (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Samantha Job (Sensitive); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Trump executive order on refugees/travel ban

Patrick / all

Richard Clarke at the Home Office is arranging a teleconference at 08:00 UK time on Sunday. He will be sending out dial-in details just before the meeting but grateful if any further updates/input from Washington or elsewhere could be passed to Kara before 07:30.

[REDACTED]

38

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** Re: URGENT Line to take

**From:** Kara Owen (Sensitive)

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 16:02

**To:** [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive)

**Subject:** Re: URGENT Line to take

Yes

---

Sent from Boxer | <http://getboxer.com>

On 29 January 2017 at 16:00:59 GMT, Clarke Richard (International) [REDACTED] wrote:

Kara,

Background to be added etc. but would you be comfortable with HS PPS suggesting the below lines to No 10 to be used on both the HS and FS calls?

- Followed the coverage of the Executive Order closely and grateful for the efforts of DHS/State officials in working with UK opposite numbers.

- But, as you will have seen, we remain very concerned about the position in which this leaves UK dual nationals or UK nationals born in one of the seven relevant countries.
- Understand that the Canadians have been told that this rule will not apply to their citizens travelling on their passports.
- **Grateful for confirmation that this will also be the case with UK nationals and that we can make that public this evening.**

Cheers,

R

**Richard Clarke**  
International Director  
Home Office

[REDACTED]  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Seacole, 2 Marsham Street, London SW1P 4DF

39	Email	<p>Report within FCO</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> US entry ban/BNs - Parliament tomorrow</p> <p><b>From:</b> [REDACTED]  <b>Sent:</b> 29 January 2017 22:52  <b>To:</b> [REDACTED]; Nat Dawbarn (Sensitive)  <b>Cc:</b> DL PO - PS &amp; SPADS (Sensitive); Valerie Oconnell (Sensitive); SOSFA Action (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kenny Bowie (Home Office) (Sensitive); Hudson Scott; [REDACTED]; PUS Action (Sensitive); Jonathan Allen (Sensitive); Kara Owen (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Global Response Centre (Sensitive); Simon McGee (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Patrick Davies (Sensitive); Sheila Underwood (Sensitive)  <b>Subject:</b> US entry ban/BNs - Parliament tomorrow</p> <p>[REDACTED] Nat,</p> <p>After discussions with SpAds and the Foreign Secretary here, and Home Office/No 10, we think we should plan on the basis the Foreign Secretary will look to do a statement to the House tomorrow on the US Executive Order on foreign nationals and what that means for UK nationals.</p> <p>This is not yet confirmed, but grateful if you could start work on this basis tomorrow morning – I presume we will need to discuss timing with the Leader of the House and prepare a statement/briefing. Much of this will be able to draw on the Foreign Secretary’s statement, but will also need to reflect developments overnight.</p> <p>We’ll be in touch tomorrow morning to confirm if this will go ahead.</p> <p>Many thanks,</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	29 January 2017
----	-------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------

40

Email

Report within FCO

29 January  
2017

**Subject:** Re: US Executive Order on Foreign Nationals and visa Issuance/entry to the US - FS/Kushner & Miller readout OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 22:43

**To:** Kenny Bowie (Home Office) (Sensitive)

**Cc:** Patrick Davies (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Alastair Whitehead (Conf); [REDACTED]; Heywood, Jeremy - (OFFICIAL); Hudson Scott; [REDACTED]; Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); Lizzie Loudon; Harry Carter; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Simon McDonald (PUS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Joanna Penn; Chris Wilkins; [REDACTED]; Simon Case; Nick Timothy; Sedwill Mark; Katie Perrior; Mark Lyall Grant (Cabinet Office) (Conf); Ed de Minckwitz; [REDACTED]; Kara Owen (Sensitive); Douglas Benedict (Cabinet Office) (Conf); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: US Executive Order on Foreign Nationals and visa Issuance/entry to the US - FS/Kushner & Miller readout OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

We need to keep pushing this. Tomorrow we should be in a position that follows up on FCO guidance. That position being merely that HS is engaged.

On 29 Jan 2017, at 21:52, Bowie Kenny [REDACTED] wrote:

[REDACTED] The FS Statement seems to buy us a bit of time on this though so we will pick back up first thing tomorrow.

Kenny Bowie  
PPS/Home Secretray

On 29 Jan 2017, at 18:51, [REDACTED]> wrote:

Thanks [REDACTED]– this is positive.

[REDACTED] The EO itself says that there is a ban (with some exemptions) for all people (travelling) from those countries, [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 18:17

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: US Executive Order on Foreign Nationals and visa Issuance/entry to the US - FS/Kushner & Miller readout  
OFFICIAL\_SENSITIVE

The FCO has classified this message as OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE. Please ensure you handle it appropriately.

Kim, [REDACTED],

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Best wishes,

[REDACTED]

**From:** Bowie Kenny [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 18:05

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** Martin Reynolds (PPS) (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Simon McDonald (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; Sedwill Mark; [REDACTED]; Kim Darroch (Sensitive); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Heywood, Jeremy - (OFFICIAL); [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: US Executive Order on Foreign Nationals and visa Issuance/entry to the US

[REDACTED]

We're still pushing for a call via post but don't have a time yet. I will update you as soon as we do.

Kenny Bowie  
PPS/Home Secretary

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 17:59

**To:** Simon Case [REDACTED] Alastair Whitehead [REDACTED]

**Cc:** Bowie Kenny [REDACTED]; Sedwill Mark [REDACTED]; Lyall-Grant, Mark - National Security Secretariat (CONF) [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Clarke Richard (International) [REDACTED]; Heywood, Jeremy - (OFFICIAL) <[REDACTED]>; Nick Timothy [REDACTED]; Fiona Hill [REDACTED]; Joanna Penn [REDACTED]; Katie Perrior [REDACTED]; Lizzie Loudon [REDACTED]; Harry Carter [REDACTED]; Chris Wilkins [REDACTED]; Ed de Minckwitz [REDACTED]; Scott [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: US Executive Order on Foreign Nationals and visa Issuance/entry to the US

[REDACTED]

Kenny – any update on when the HS is due to speak to Gen Kelly?

[REDACTED]

**From:** Simon Case

**Sent:** 29 January 2017 17:29

**To:** Alastair Whitehead

**Cc:** Bowie Kenny; [REDACTED]; Sedwill Mark; Lyall-Grant, Mark - National Security Secretariat (CONF); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Clarke Richard (International); Heywood, Jeremy - (OFFICIAL); Nick Timothy; [REDACTED]; Joanna Penn; Katie Perrior; Lizzie Loudon; Harry Carter; Chris Wilkins; Ed de Minckwitz; [REDACTED]; Hudson Scott

**Subject:** Re: US Executive Order on Foreign Nationals and visa Issuance/entry to the US

What time do we expect calls to take place?

On 29 Jan 2017, at 17:23, Alastair Whitehead [REDACTED] wrote:

Adding Kara Owen and Scott to this chain.

Thanks,

Alastair

Alastair Whitehead | Private Secretary to the Prime Minister | 10 Downing Street, London, SW1A 2AA | [REDACTED]

On 29 Jan 2017, at 17:16, Bowie Kenny [REDACTED] wrote:

[REDACTED], Alastair,

Just to confirm to this chain that the broad high level approach agreed between us and FCO (and with Alastair on a separate chain) in terms of our respective calls is as follows:

1. Followed the coverage of the Executive Order closely and grateful for the efforts of DHS/State officials in working with UK opposite numbers.
2. But, as you will have seen, we remain very concerned about the position in which this leaves UK dual nationals or UK nationals born in one of the seven relevant countries.

[REDACTED]

4. Grateful for confirmation that this will also be the case with UK nationals and that we can make that public this evening.

Thanks,

Kenny Bowie  
PPS/Home Secretray